

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,682		07/03/2003	Werner Desmond Van Jaarsveldt		2961
36332	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		OND VAN JAARS	BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
21 CARLOTTA CRESCENT WINNIPEG, MB R3R2P4				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA					
				DATE MAILED: 00/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/612,682	VAN JAARSVELDT, WERNER DESMOND					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Laura A. Bouchelle	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine is/are: 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the oregin of the correction in th	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the & drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karell et al (US 5888199) [hereinafter Karell I] in view of Karell (US 5334212) [hereinafter Karell II].
- 3. Karell I discloses an ear cleaning device having a base 22 that attaches to a syringe 50, a curved flexible catheter tip 16, a depth shield attachment 18, and a rounded tip 12. See Figs. 1 and 2.
- 4. Claim 1 calls for the body to comprise wings able to screw into the syringe. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to connect the catheter to the syringe by any known means. Applicant has not disclosed that having wings to screw into the syringe serves any advantage or particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill would expect the device of Karell I and applicant's invention to perform equally well with either a screw fit or a friction fit. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify Karell I to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1 because

Art Unit: 3763

such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art.

5. Claim 1 further differs from Karell I in calling for depth markers at regular intervals. Karell II teaches an ear cleaning device having depth markers 22 that allow the position of the safety insertion depth stopper to be adjusted to vary the depth of insertion (Col. 1, lines 35-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Karell I to have depth markers as taught by Karell II to allow the position of the safety insertion depth stopper to be adjusted to vary the depth of insertion.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,682 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763

LAB

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY FIGURE EXAMINER

TECHNOLULI ULTITER 3700